

Research indicates that the characteristics we are born with have much more influence on our personality and development than any experience we may have in our life.

Which one do you think is the major influencer?

While it is true that genes that are inherited to offsprings shape their characteristics, nurture could potentially alter some of those attributes over time. However, I believe that our hereditary features are the major player in that regard.

On the one hand, a growing body of empirical researches has shown that human personality is formed by what is passed on from the parents, meaning that almost every trait of a person is already determined ~~once-when~~ stepping into the world, however fearsome that might seem. A very tangible example to support the aforesaid reasoning would be the fact ~~that~~—how different siblings within the same family and upbringing present unmatching personalities. Besides, comparing the parents' attributes with those of kids clearly manifests this phenomenon in its strongest form.

On the other hand, parenting and education are two well-established tools in societies to assist us in instituting people's identity. On that account, there is always room for people to incorporate their acquired experiences for better or worse. To cite an example to demonstrate the effectiveness of nurture, incarceration has been a widely accepted practice used mainly for the purpose of teaching the offender how ~~one-he~~ must behave in public. Therefore, we can observe how such interventions ~~to~~ in human traits could probably ~~make-create~~ finer people.

To conclude, although education can, to a certain degree, provide some adjustments to the personality, I believe ~~genetic-genes~~ and hardwired features are mostly responsible for shaping the human identity. After all, even the education and experience we gain throughout the lifespan are somewhat the reflection of ~~other's~~ others' derived predispositions.

